

Action
OCA 86-1395

ILLEGIB

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AFFAIRS

Routing Slip

	ACTION	INFO
1. D/OCA		X
2. DD/Legislation	X	
3. DD/Senate Affairs		X
4. Ch/Senate Affairs		
5. DD/House Affairs		X
6. Ch/House Affairs		
7. Admin Officer		
8. Executive Officer		X
9. FOIA Officer		
10. Constituent Inquiries Officer		
11.		
12.		

SUSPENSE ²⁹
~~29~~ Apr 86 - 2 PM
Date

Action Officer

Remarks:

*SUSPENSE DATE GIVEN PER

Responded to OMB by phone to Russ Neely
BC / 29 Apr 86
Name/Date 4/29/86

action completed / 4/29/86

STAT

STAT

Action
OCA 86-1395

OFFICE OF CONGRESSIONAL AFFAIRS

Routing Slip

	ACTION	INFO
1. D/OCA		X
2. DD/Legislation	X	
3. DD/Senate Affairs		X
4. Ch/Senate Affairs		
5. DD/House Affairs		X
6. Ch/House Affairs		
7. Admin Officer		
8. Executive Officer		X
9. FOIA Officer		
10. Constituent Inquiries Officer		
11.		
12.		

SUSPENSE

30 Apr 86 - 20:1
Date

Action Officer:

Remarks:

*SUSPENSE DATE GIVEN PER

BC / 29 Apr 86
Name/Date




OCA FILE hag

RECPT # _____

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

Washington, D.C. 20520



**STATEMENT OF
RONALD I. SPIERS
UNDER SECRETARY FOR MANAGEMENT
ON THE PROPOSED
DIPLOMATIC SECURITY ACT
BEFORE
THE COMMITTEE ON FOREIGN RELATIONS
UNITED STATES SENATE**

April 30, 1986

Mr. Chairman, Members of the Committee:

I am here today to present the President's proposal to strengthen the physical and technical security of the diplomatic operations of the United States at home and abroad.

This program is one of the very highest priorities of the President and, as you know, enjoys his personal support. On March 17, 1986, the President put this into words in a letter saying:

"Americans serving overseas need adequate protection to carry out their mission safely. This is a year of great budget stringency, but the well-being of our people is of the utmost importance. That is why I have included this vital security program in my Budget for Fiscal Year 1987 as one of our highest foreign policy priorities.

This is yet another part of our overall program to combat international terrorism. I urge speedy enactment of the legislation necessary to start this program."

This personal support of the President is reflected in the high priority attached to this program in the Budget of the United States submitted earlier this month and is illustrated by its prominent inclusion in the budget document entitled, "Major Policy Initiatives, FY-1987." With your permission, Mr. Chairman, I attach a copy of the relevant portion of that publication for the record.

- 2 -

Similarly, as you know, the Secretary has personally been strongly behind this program from the beginning. On June 24, 1985, he testified before another committee that he was ". . . extremely pleased" with the Advisory Panel's work. He added, ". . . the security program is essential, fundamental, and we have to do it. It is a big ticket item, but rebuilding our most vulnerable facilities is the single most effective means of ensuring the safety of our personnel, and I strongly urge your support for it."

We are here with an Administration bill and budget strongly supported by the President and the Secretary. And I should add strongly supported in today's budget context and climate. The program proposed by the President is fully consistent with the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings budget requirements. It represents a conscious and deliberate choice on the part of the President to fund this program and not some others. I am here today to ask you to support the Administration's overseas security program and to act on this bill, to keep faith with our people overseas. Every day we delay, Americans remain at risk.

Mr. Chairman, the Administration's proposals are based on the recommendations of the Advisory Panel on Overseas Security, and much work and thought in the Department and other interested agencies.

- 3 -

Over the past few years the attention of the world has been riveted to terrorist dramas unfolding around the globe. This is a new and chilling phenomenon, one with which civilized nations and civilized peoples are inadequately equipped to deal. That can and must change. We must do everything we can to thwart those who seek to advance their ends through terror. We must protect official Americans and their dependents from these criminal activities.

We in the State Department and our colleagues from other agencies serving abroad are on the front line. Our friends and colleagues have been victims of this violence. But the challenge of terrorism has strengthened our determination. The courage and patriotism of our people and their families in the face of these dangers are inspiring.

We must spare no effort to ensure the safety of the people and facilities of all agencies abroad. In this period of budgetary stringency, this task must be a priority. Therefore we have designed a program which in our view is prudent, thoroughly thought out, effective in its commitment of resources -- and responsive to the inescapable necessity we now face.

- 4 -

The United States has always built handsome and accessible embassies and consulates abroad. Our object was to be easily accessible -- to demonstrate to other peoples the openness of our society and the hand of friendship we extend to all. We wanted the local populace to see a "welcome" sign above our door and to feel comfortable in entering our buildings. This is the essence of the job we do overseas, and we have been highly successful at it. We are now faced with a new situation: The current security and terrorist problems have left those same buildings vulnerable. Our challenge is to strengthen our security against the new dangers so that we can maintain our tradition of openness and accessibility. We will not let the terrorists win their victory by isolating us, forcing us to close our doors, or denying us our contacts with the peoples of the countries in which we are represented.

The Advisory Panel on Overseas Security

With this goal in mind, the Secretary formed the Advisory Panel on Overseas Security, chaired by Admiral Inman. The Secretary asked the Panel to take a hard look at our security and counter-terrorism programs and to give him ideas and recommendations.

- 6 -

-- that significant new resources be made available for a comprehensive worldwide security program to protect all government employees abroad. A key element here is a major multi-year construction program to rebuild or replace embassies and consulates overseas which fall significantly below our physical and technical security standards. Other elements are the upgrading of our communications to state-of-the art technology so they are faster and more secure, and improved intelligence-sharing with host governments and within our own government.

Actions Taken

The Bureau of Diplomatic Security and the Diplomatic Security Service have been established, with your support, through reprogramming of funds. In addition, using existing funds and authorities, we have already:

- started an ambitious recruitment campaign to bring on board nearly 300 new security agents during FY-86 and to more than double the number of overseas security officers before the end of this fiscal year;
- significantly improved training for security agents;
- streamlined threat-alert procedures;

800

NO. 004

STATE/H LEG AFF

14:30

04/25/86

- 7 -

- increased the number of local guards worldwide;
- added more Marines and Marine Guard detachments to posts;
- nearly doubled the size of our armored vehicle fleet overseas;
- made significant physical security improvements at 152 posts in 1985; and
- dispatched mobile training teams to high-threat posts to provide specialized security training to U.S. Government personnel, dependents and Foreign Service Nationals.

Administration's Proposals

The Administration's proposals now before you will, in addition, do three things:

First, they would authorize a five-year construction program to replace or upgrade our most vulnerable posts; and further authorize improvements in communications and intelligence-sharing, all as recommended by the Inman Panel.

- 8 -

Second, our proposals would complete the reorganization of our security program as recommended by the Inman Panel by providing for a new Assistant Secretary of State to head a Bureau of Diplomatic Security, and for a Director of the Diplomatic Security Service. The bureau would be responsible for all operational aspects of our security program. We would authorize certain special recruitment and performance standards for members of the Diplomatic Security Service, and emphasize such operational matters as residential, perimeter, and technical security.

In one respect we have gone beyond the recommendations of the Inman Panel. Where the Panel favored placing the policy arm of our counterterrorism effort with the Under Secretary for Political Affairs, we have, through reprogramming, created an Ambassador-at-Large for counter-terrorism reporting directly to the Secretary. Before this reorganization last November 4, this policy function rested with an office reporting to the Under Secretary for Management. This reorganization demonstrates the high priority we place on counter-terrorism; it strengthens the interagency role of this key office on the many issues on which the State Department is the lead agency.

- 9 -

Third, our proposals would revise and clarify the chain of command for overseas security, fixing supervisory responsibility directly on the Chief of Mission and the Secretary of State. They would also formalize and expand the current process by which responsible officials are held accountable for their actions -- or inaction. We would now have an automatic investigation whenever there is a security breach that results in serious bodily injury, loss of life, or significant damage to our property.

Although the draft Bill requests "such sums as may be necessary", the Administration's total authorization funding requirement for this program is \$4.4 billion spread over five years. Of this total, \$2.7 billion is for construction, and the balance is for related operating expenses including security officers, residential security improvements and more secure communications equipment. In Fiscal Year 1986 the Administration is requesting \$237 million for salaries and expenses and \$455 million for construction. In FY year 1987, the Administration is requesting \$304 million for salaries and expenses and \$1.1 billion for construction for this program.

The Construction Program

The Inman Panel recommended several new security standards -- a 100-foot set-back, for example. The Secretary has accepted these standards, and the set-back shall be the goal for our buildings, wherever feasible. Many posts do not meet these standards; thus a new building program is needed.

Many posts front onto busy streets. Some have extensive glass facades. Often we share office buildings with other organizations and businesses. In still other cases our embassies and consulates share walls with non-U.S. government tenants. All this is clearly and generally undesirable and now simply unacceptable in a great many situations.

The program places its highest priority on buildings at locations where the security threat is greatest and which are substantially below the new standards.

Great effort has gone into creating a security construction program which would ensure that buildings are designed and built to meet stringent security standards, on time and within budget. It was clear from the outset that a massive expansion of the staff of our Foreign Buildings Office to implement such a major program was not the answer. We have, instead, developed an implementation plan based on the following policies:

- use of "fast track" and "design/build" methods to accelerate the design and construction process so that projects can be completed more quickly than was possible under previous programs;
- use of risk analysis, constructability and value engineering reviews to ensure that design requirements are compatible with local conditions, material availability and technical capabilities, and are cost-effective;
- upgrade of construction contractor qualification requirements to ensure that construction awards are made to contractors with the financial, organizational and technical qualifications necessary for successful completion of a major overseas building program;
- allocation of sufficient resources to on-site construction oversight and inspection to ensure that buildings are constructed to required standards; and
- implementation of recommendations from a major research program undertaken for our Foreign Buildings Office by the National Academy of Sciences; recommendations are concerned with security-related issues in virtually every aspect of the planning, design, construction and management of the State Department's overseas building program.

- 12 -

The private sector will play a key role in ensuring effective management and implementation of the building program. We have published a synopsis of our program in the "Commerce Business Daily" with a request for particulars of qualification and experience from private sector firms interested in providing us with program, design and engineering, construction and operations management services.

We will be ready to enter into a contract with our first private sector program manager immediately upon receipt of an authorization and appropriation from the Congress.

Bureau of Diplomatic Security

As I said earlier, this legislation would complete the reorganization of security responsibilities in the Department recommended by the Inman Panel by: 1) providing for an Assistant Secretary of State to head the new Bureau of Diplomatic Security; 2) creating a Director of the Diplomatic Security Service; 3) establishing several special job-related requirements for membership in the Diplomatic Security Service; and 4) increasing our emphasis on key operational security programs.

- 13 -

The new Bureau will concentrate on improving:

- the security of the homes of our people and their families overseas;
- perimeter security at our facilities;
- technical security to update and improve our ability to cope with the unprecedented threat of sophisticated penetration systems used by hostile intelligence services against our facilities abroad;
- protection of foreign dignitaries in the United States;
- qualifications and performances of our local guards at overseas posts;
- professionalism and training for our security personnel; and
- it will improve security at Moscow and other East European posts by, among other things, substituting Americans for a substantial number of local employees now working in support positions.

Diplomatic Security Service

We envision the Diplomatic Security Service as a highly professional security organization with the recognition and respect that brings. The Panel called for increased professional training, physical fitness standards, and an identifiable career structure within the Foreign Service for the Department's security cadre. The Secretary strongly supports these recommendations.

The Diplomatic Security Service is to be staffed by drawing upon the existing Foreign Service and Civil Service personnel systems. This proposal will not create a new personnel system. Rather it identifies a category of employees in the same manner as do the designations "Political Officer" or "Economic Officer." Qualifications required for assignment or appointment to positions in the Diplomatic Security Service will be prescribed by the Secretary of State. In the case of security officers, the position qualifications may include minimum and maximum entry age limitations--perhaps 21 years minimum and 35 years maximum. Such limitations are commonly found in organizations having security-related responsibilities.

- 15 -

In addition, the position qualifications for security officers will incorporate the standards now required by law to carry out our security functions and to exercise the Department's law enforcement authorities. As security officers perform such unique functions as protecting lives and carrying firearms in certain situations, the Secretary will be authorized to issue regulations providing for special disciplinary procedures. This is a common practice among organizations with security-related responsibilities.

The Chain of Command

The Inman Panel concluded that management of the security program was overly fragmented and that the chain of command ought to be revised so that the resulting sharper supervisory focus would encourage better management and protection of U.S. government personnel overseas.

Therefore, the Administration's proposed legislation fixes overall responsibility and authority for the management and direction of the U.S. security program overseas on the Secretary of State and, through him, the Ambassador at post.

- 16 -

We are working closely with other agencies to develop and agree upon appropriate security standards, procedures, and resource levels that are responsive to the needs of all U.S. government agencies having facilities overseas except for military bases. Physical security standards and procedures for USIA libraries and relay stations, as well as AID and other facilities located separately from Embassies and consulates, may differ from those applied to embassies, consulates, and diplomatic residences. But the Ambassador at post, and through him, the Department, will have central responsibility for security at these facilities as well as our embassies.

This proposal does not affect the Washington-based security offices of other agencies. There is sound management justification for each agency having its own security advisors in Washington. These advisors play an important role within their agencies, and we need their help in planning and implementing this program.

The Administration's proposal also does not affect the authority or responsibility of any other Federal, State or local agency with respect to law enforcement, domestic security operations, intelligence activities as defined by Executive Order, or the provision of protective services by the Secret Service.

- 17 -

In reviewing the security chain of command, the Inman Panel recommended that we have a procedure established in law to draw lessons from security disasters or to fix individual responsibility, if any, for such incidents. The Administration agrees, and this proposal expands our current procedures by creating Accountability Review Boards to investigate incidents involving serious injury, loss of life, or significant destruction of property at or related to U.S. government missions abroad (other than military installations). Such boards would not only make findings relating to security generally, but they would also determine if a breach of duty by an individual employee contributed to the incident. The employing agency would review and act on the findings of the board.

Conclusion

Mr. Chairman, the Administration is proposing a major, national program to improve the physical and technical security of all departments and agencies abroad, except for military bases, as well as the physical security of foreign missions and officials in this country. This proposed legislation is the product of long, hard work to which many agencies have contributed and is intended to meet their requirements. The most important contributions have come from AID, USIA, CIA, Justice, Defense, OPM, OMB, Commerce, Agriculture, and Treasury.

- 18 -

Our proposal's overriding goal is to maintain the American tradition of overseas posts that extend the warm hand of welcome to the local population. The program I have outlined today is intended to ensure this openness in light of the dangers of today's world. We must not forget the purpose of our overseas presence is to spread our message and communicate our ideals to other nations, not to overwhelm our hosts with the aura of our power by erecting forbidding fortresses.

The Administration's proposed program will not solve all of our security problems. But we can minimize them. Our proposal is a comprehensive--and long overdue--security program which addresses our most basic security concerns. We will need to continue to supplement our limited resources with vigilance and effective intelligence to enhance the security of our posts and the effectiveness, as well as the safety, of our missions abroad.

The President and his Administration place the highest priority on this program. I am here today to ask you to enact it, to keep faith with our people overseas.